

Previous references.
File ending with G.O.

No 11772 d 17-1-02.
P.H. 820

1903.

(S.H.)

Later references.

ml 187-14627

S.H. 20-1436:42, 13 Sep 2, 1915
ml 187-1483. B. Nov. 1915

File No. 21 of 1913

Serial Nos. 1-6

30

Preserved

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B. for August-1914

SUBJECT.

Sanctions a Superior pension of Rs 57 p.m.
to Shanubag Nanjappa, Mre Bangalore
City Municipality

- 1) Petition from Nanjappa d 30-6-1913
Prays that his services on pay less than Rs 100-00
Shanubag may be treated as Superior and he
be given pension according to the Superior Scale.
- 2) To the President. Municipal Council. Bangalore
No 71800 d 11-10-1913. Request to be forwarded
with the opinion of the Council in the matter of
classification of the service of Shanubag as
Superior or inferior irrespective of their pay.

G. R. S. 58 3) From President. Bangalore Municipal Council
No 1311 d 1-11-1913

Forwards copy of Council's resolution that service of Shanubag
may be treated as Superior & adds that it is best proper that it
should be so classified.

4) To the President. Shamboque City Munipl Council
+ Comptroller

No 138-140 d 11-7-1914.

Directs that the services of the Shamboques in the city
municipally be treated as Superior irrespective of their pay
that the case of Shamboque Mungapke treated accordingly,
his pension appln being revised.

5) From the Comptroller. No 20 d 21-7-14.
PTA 10211

Submits revised pension appln that a Superior
pension of \$57-12 is admissible to him

6) To Comptroller + President. City Munipl Council Budget

No 666-7 d 14-8-14

Sanctions a Superior pension of \$57 to the
applicant with effect from 1-8-1912

Booth

7/11/14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Sta.)

NOTES.

File No. 21.

FILE HEADING..

Enhanced pension to Nayappa late Assistant
Shanboque Bangalore city Municipality.

From

Nayappa.

No.

Dated 30-6-13

Received 5-7-13

Diary No. 96.

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the service rendered by him as Shanboque may, in the circumstances explained be treated as superior and that he may be given pension according to the Superior Scale.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

² The applicant represents that the service of Shanboques getting more than Rs 10 is treated as "superior" while that of those getting less than Rs 10 is "inferior" that this is hard as the work turned out by both is the same and that "the work of a Shanboque is

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial
No.

is not of a peon or any other menial but of a pucca accountant who is entrusted with more responsibility." He prays that the service of a Shambogue may be treated as superior like the service of Schoolmasters whose pay is less than Rs 100. This point was mooted at the time of sanctioning his pension and dropped on Br: IV saying that there is no exemption in the case of Shambogues as in the case of Schoolmasters. (Vide pag 2 of the notes in Sta 599 of 1912-13). Now a representation having been received, it is a point for consideration whether Shambogues may not be treated like that of Schoolmasters. The Shambogue's work requires some education or rather an official of the educated class. To treat his service as "inferior" seems to be rather hard.

Subd for order.

DMM-47

29.7.13

Wink-25 (secre)

29.7.13-

3 Try to find out parallel cases & whether the recognition of the principle as regards Shambogues will result in an embarrassing situation. M

9/8

5.11.13

Serial
No.

4

In the following cases, pay was not taken as the standard for guidance in classifying service into Superior and inferior. The nature of work was taken into consideration.

U

(i) Schoolmasters, whatever their pay were classed under Superior (Vide G. O. No. 3200/G. F. 68-92 dt: 24-8-92).

W

(ii) The 13 gumastas attached to the office of the Deputy and Asst. Deputy Inspectors of Schools were classed under Superior (Vide G. O. No. 11772/3 / Pta 820 dt: 17-1-02).

H

At the time of showing the concession to Schoolmasters, the question whether it may be extended to similar offls in other Departments was raised and it was dropped on the ground that such extension would enhance the liability of the State as regards pensionary charges. (Vide G. O. No. 12194 dt: 16-1-1893).

G. F. 68-92

Subd 5
Dmz-38
10-9-13

This is in the case of Shanboge on fixed pay that a decision that they belong to Superior Service will perhaps add to pension charges but it is perhaps due to them as the

Serial
No.

On the other hand, they do not mean that there
of the whole but also that you
accountant?

(See)

10.9.13

6

A glance at the list in art
271 - list of app^{ts} in which service
is superior if the pay is Rs 10 or above -
will show that if the concession asked
for is given in this case it will have
to be granted in numerous other cases -
The arguments advanced apply equally
to them. In my opinion no change
in the rules is called for

M
11/9

11.9.13

(Municipal)

7

Please take the opinion
of the Municipal Dept^t, if the
Pension is charged to M^u
Funds. H. J.

8

for favor opinion

17/9/13

18.9.13

LT 398-13

Serial
No.

Q

9. In Govt order no. 6480-9 dt 23/2/1911
Sl 651

The service Regs were extended to the ml & of Fund establishments & the ml Council of the Bangalore City have not as yet made any rules fixing pensions to under clause (g) of section 46 of the Mysore ml Regs.

10 The nature of the work being the same to make a distinction of service on account of pay is hard and if approved the present case may be referred for the consideration of the ml Council who meet the pension charge.

11. Subd for orders

7261 12 Yes. Put up draft explaining
24/9/13 the situation clearly to the ml Council, and calling for their opinion.

By
26/9

13 Draft subd

7261 14 The opinion of the ml Council
26/9/13 appears to be necessary in this case.

Mr II may kindly issue the proposed draft and send back the file on receipt of a reply

By
29/9

By II

Serial
No.

Subd. May the draft letter issue

SN
30/9/13W. K. Seng Bme
37

2 To the President. Municipal Council Bangalore city. No R. 1800 d. 4-10-13. Requests to be favoured with his opinion and that of the Council in the matter of classification of the service of shanboques as superior or inferior.

3. From the President Municipal Council Bangalore No. 134 d. 1-11-13 Forwards copy of the Council's Resolution that the service of the Shanboques be classified as superior irrespective of their pay. and adds that it is, in his opinion nothing but proper that it should be so classified

para 14.

15. This may now be sent to Mr. T. (Vide. Asst Secy's (Pr. W.) Note d. 29-7-12).

Subd

SM-38 SN

21.11.13.

10) For transfer to Mr. W
Mr. H. B

19) -

Subd with reference to para 8 of the memo

SP (11)

22.11.13

20) Shanboques of the Bangalore city

2.12

Serial
No.

Municipality do an accountants' work irrespective of whether their pay is above Rs 10/- or less.

It is therefore hard to exclude Shambopur getting less than Rs 10/- from the class of officials ~~in~~ Superior Service.

21) The principle of classifying officials in the Superior Service on the basis of ^{the} duties performed by them, irrespective of their pay has been recognised by Govt., more than once (vide instances given in para 4 supra). The duties of a Munt Shambopur require, it may be argued, no less intelligence than those of a low paid teacher in a village infants' school, or those of a petty clerk under an Asst. Dy. Inspector.

22) Above all, the employers of the Shambopur i.e. the City Munt Council are in favour of classifying a Shambopur's service as Superior, irrespective of the pay drawn by him, and the President supports that opinion. The conclusion appears irresistible that the Shambopur's service should be classed as Superior.

Mr. H. J.

Am
29/11

R. S. K.

C. 181
17. 1. 14.

8

Serial
No.23) Subd with ref^{ce} to para 7. Supra.

Mr. 3 P. SN

29. 11. 13.

24) I believe we are able to deal all stamps
superior irrespective of their day.
(25) (26) (27) (28) (29) (30) (31) (32) (33) (34) (35) (36) (37) (38) (39) (40) (41) (42) (43) (44) (45) (46) (47) (48) (49) (50) (51) (52) (53) (54) (55) (56) (57) (58) (59) (60) (61) (62) (63) (64) (65) (66) (67) (68) (69) (70) (71) (72) (73) (74) (75) (76) (77) (78) (79) (80) (81) (82) (83) (84) (85) (86) (87) (88) (89) (90) (91) (92) (93) (94) (95) (96) (97) (98) (99) (100)I agree to the proposal. May be
submitted to 2nd C. H. 29. XI. 13.

26)

27) I agree. we may
consider each case on its own
merits as it comes up -

11/12

28)

29)

The question may be considered at a
meeting of Council.

9. 1. 14.

26

30)

Subd of pencils

31)

C. Section

Note for meeting

17

6577

12. 12. 13.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 316th Meeting
of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja,
held at Bangalore on the 25th March 1914.

PRESENT.

1. H. Visvesvaraya, Esq., B.A., C.I.E.,
Dewan (Presiding).
2. Rajamanthrapravina
H.V. Nanjundayya, Esq., B.A., M.L., C.I.C.,
First Member of Council.
3. M. Kantharaj Ura, Esq., B.A.,
Second Member of Council.

ABSENT.

Sir Sri Narasimharaja Wadiyar Bahadur, K.C.I.E.,
Extraordinary Member of Council.

3. Subject.-(Clause xv of the Schedule).

Recommendation of the President of the Bangalore City
Municipal Council that the service rendered by Shanbogs in the
Bangalore City Municipality may be treated as superior ser-
vice under the Mysore Service Regulations.

Opinion of the Council.

The recommendation of the President of the Bangalore City
Municipal Council may be sanctioned, and the case of the peti-
tioner, Shanbog Nanjappa, may be disposed of accordingly.

Sd/- M. Visvesvaraya.

E x t r a c t "

Dewan of Mysore.

M. Visvesvaraya

Secretary to Government.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

S + A File No.

21

of 1913 - 4

Serial
No.32 Extract of Council Proceedings in
passing33 Forwarded to his final Secy. under
completeness, under para 8 & Secy 6 May 1917.2/3
21/5/14

34 I am afraid I cannot acquiesce. The principle that whoever does literate work should count service for superior pension is not in accordance with the spirit of the M. S. R. The distinction between superior & inferior service is based on other considerations and the rate of pay is a very important element in these considerations - (vide 270 & 271 M. S. R.). Almost every Municipality in the State has got men doing collecting & accounty work on less than Rs 10/- a month - Oetroi fumashtas, Mutsaddis etc. - and I do not see how the case of Bangalore can be singled out for exceptional treatment.

35)
B ii

The Article 2

with pleasure pursue along

2 a Suburban note in accordance
with the opinion of the Council

GPB-60,000-7-11-13

36 Submitted Draft Budget Note & Secy
Secy 1

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Sta File No. 21 of 1913-14.

Serial
No.

37) Submitter in the Enquiry for
Council Proceedings, further request
in the form of a copy submitted to
the Hon. Secretary

8735
7-4-14

Dewan

2
6/4/14

1
20/4/14

Submitted to His Highness.

These papers relate to the question of treating the services of shanbogs in the Bangalore City Municipality as superior, irrespective of their pay, for purposes of pension.

2. One Nanjappa, a shanbog in the City Municipality was granted a pension of Rs.1-9-2 per mensem and a gratuity of Rs. 53 for a mixed service of 29 years 2 months 28 days of which 14 years 8 months and 14 days was on pay less than Rs.10/- and therefore treated as inferior. The shanbog represented that the work to be done by shanbogs as a class, on whatever pay, was the same and prayed that his service on pay less than Rs.10/- may be treated as superior. The President, City Municipal Council, who was requested to furnish Government with the views of the Council and his own in the matter, states that, considering the responsible duties with which the shanbogs are entrusted, their services may be treated as superior irrespective of their pay.

Paper under disposal

y

3. The question was considered in Council and the Members agree that the recommendation of the President may be sanctioned and that the case of Shanbog Nanjappa may be disposed of accordingly.

4. The Financial Secretary does not agree and observes that the principle that whoever does literate work should count service for superior pension is not in accordance with the spirit of the Mysore Service Regulations, that the distinction between superior and inferior service is based on other considerations, that the rate of pay is a very important element in these considerations, that almost every Municipality in the

Page 9 of the office notes

Page 10 of the office notes

State has got men doing collection and account work on less than Rs.10/- a month, viz., octroi gumasthas and mutsaddies, &c., and that he does not see how the case of Bangalore can be singled out for exceptional treatment.

5. Cases of wholetime shanbogs like those of the Bangalore City Municipality which are likely to come up for similar treatment will be very few, and considering the nature of the work done by these men and the very small amount of extra cost which their treatment as superior servants will entail, I agree with the opinion of the Council that their case deserves the favourable consideration of Government.

6. I therefore solicit that the recommendation to treat the services of shanbogs in the City Municipality, Bangalore, as superior irrespective of their pay, and to deal accordingly with the case of shanbog Nanjappa in question may be graciously sanctioned.

ss

M. V. Venkataswamy

21st June 1914.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders
of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

Question of treating the services
of shanbogs in the Bangalore City Muni-
cipality as superior irrespective of their
pay for purposes of pension.



1133

Order.

KRM

26/6/14

Secretary

27.6.14

cc

Prin
28/6

Draft by
28/6

an

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

SLa File No. 21 of 1913-14.

Serial
No.

2. Drafts sub.

MM. 38
30.6.14. *Wm*

Inme of to Comptroller

*1-7/14**W. B. Mead**W. B. Mead**Hyman*4 To the President, City Muni. Council, Bangalore
Comptroller.

No. 138-440 of 11.7.14

Directs that the services of the Shambhoques in the City Municipality, Bangalore, should be treated as superior irrespective of their pay and that the case of Shambhoque Nanjappa for pension be revised & disposed of accordingly & forwards for a revised report the pension application of Nanjappa.

5 From the Comptroller No. 20 dt. 21-7-14

Pta 10211

Submits a revised report on the pension application of Nanjappa stating that a superannuation pension not exceeding Rs 5 pm is admissible to him.

The Comptroller requests to be informed of the date from which the enhanced pension should take effect. Draft order

Sanctioning

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Sta File No. 21 of 1953-14.

Serial
No.

Sanctioning the enhanced pension
with effect from the date of retirement
is sub. for orders...

DM-38
4.8.14.

It is Effect has been given
from the date of retirement the
the date of 10. 10. 14. & the 10. 10. 14.
was added in connection with the
pension papers of the pensioner as
individual. The draft has therefore
be approved.

4.8.14

(Member)

Draft appd. by First member
M.S.S.

7.8.14
Recd 9/8

Issue

4.8.14

6

To Comptroller & President, City Muni. Council,
Bangalore
No. 666-7 8 14.8.14

ab 813

Sanctions a superannuation pension
with effect from 1.8.12
of Rs 5 pm. to Shanbhogue Nanjappa of
the Bangalore City Municipality the pension
being debited to the funds thereof.

10/3 10/4
SECTION 5/4
To 21
1

K.R. Srinivasalingar, Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Most respected Sir,

With reference to Memo No. 984 dated the 12th June 1913 from the City Municipal Office, Bangalore, intimating that the Government have, in ^{their} Proceedings No. Fl.7060-1/S & A 599-12-2, dated the 5th June 1913 granted me a pension of - - Re 1-9-2 and a gratuity of Rs 56, I most humbly and respectfully beg to state that I was surprised to hear that I get a small pension of Re 1-9-2 for a long service of about 29 years and to request therefore that you will be kind enough to take the following few lines into your favourable consideration:-

The Comptroller seems to have treated a portion of my service as inferior though the whole of my service is - - actually a superior one. Just when the City was brought under the Municipal Regulations, there were Shanubhogues attached to the Municipality whose appointments were hereditary. As the work increased in the Municipality, Assistant Shanubhogues were appointed, whose services were thought also to be hereditary. Only very recently, when the hereditary Shanubhogue-ships in the Municipality were done away with, the Shanubhogues were - - granted pensions. But it was not decided whether the services of these poor Shanubhogues (means an Accountant) who work hard day and night and discharge the duties of an Accountant have to be treated as superior or inferior. Some of these Shanubhogues who were given pensions were getting a pay of more than Rs 10 and so they all got pension according to the superior scale and - - therefore there was no difficulty in deciding their case.

But

But my case is quite different. Though I was getting a pay of less than Rs 10, I was doing similar duties done by those Shanubhagues who were given pension according to the - - superior scale, The only difference between mine and their appointments is in pay and not in the work. While such is the case, if my service is treated as inferior and a small pension granted to me for a long service of 29 years, I shall be put to much hardship in my old age and all hopes of mine who have worked earnestly and honestly in the hope that my appointment was either hereditary or of superior service, (just like the service of School masters whose pay is less than Rs 10) would be frustrated. Moreover, it may not be out of place to mention that the work of a Shanubhague is not of a peon or any other menial, but of a pacca Accountant who is entrusted with more responsibility. If such an appointment is treated as of - - - inferior service, it is very discouraging to those that work in that line.


Under the above circumstances, I most humbly and respectfully beg that you will be kind enough to recommend to the Government that the whole of my service may be treated as superior and that I may be given pension according to the superior scale just like school masters whose pay is less than Rs 10.

For which act of kindness, I shall ever pray.

I beg to remain,

Most Respected Sir,

Your most obedient & humble servant,



Garden, ChamaraJapet,
Bangalore City.

Retired Assistant Shanubhague.
Bangalore City Municipality.

30 - 6 - 1913.

27

Calling for the opinion of the ml. Council
regarding the classification of the service of
Saddhops as Inferior & Superior
File No.

G.R.S. 26]

30/9

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to

96

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Sta)

, the

19 .)

No. JE 1800

4th October/13

To

The President ml

Council Bangalore City

Date of issue

4th October/13

Signed by

W. Narasimhaiah

I am directed

to state that the service

of pensionable saddhops of

the Bangalore City Council is

now reckoned as inferior or

superior according as they

are in receipt of a pay of

less than Rs 10/- or of Rs 10 & above

(articles 27 & 28 of the Hyderabad Regs)

The nature of the work

over.

SUBJECT.

4

performed by them being
the same, it appears hard
to make a distinction in
the classification of service
on the basis of pay.

2 I am ^{therefore} to request you
to be as good as to place
the question before the next
Council and submit a copy
of their opinion in the matter
and also favor your with your
own views on the question.

I have etc

~~10/2/13~~
~~26/9/13~~
For Secy
S

TL 61

26/9/13

List of enclosures, .

Copied by

Examined by

11/3/13 sent
From 1913.

5

The Honorary President,
Municipal Council,
Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department,

Dated Bangalore

Despatched 1-11-13

Received

General No. 134
No.

Enclosure No. /

Submitting copy of the
Municipal Council's reso-
lution dated 25-10-13 Re
the classification of ser-
vice of pensionable Chan-
dhas as inferior or su-
perior according as they
receive a pay of less than
Rs 10/- or of Rs 10/- and above.

DEPT NO 1704

10/3-1914
SECTION S + A
FILE NO. 21-13
GENERAL NO. 3

No. 134

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL.

Bangalore City, dated 29th October 1913.

Encl. 1

From

DEWAN BAHADUR RAJA SABHA BHUSHANA

K. P. PUTTANNA CHETTY, ESQ.,

Honorary President, Municipal Council,

Bangalore City.

To

The Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General & Revenue Departments, Bangalore.

SIR,


With reference to your letter No. Fl.1800/S and A 21-13-2 dated the 4th Instant, calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council in regard to the classification of service of pensionable shanbhogs of the Bangalore City Municipality, as inferior or superior, according as they are in receipt of a pay of less than Rs 10/- or of Rs 10/- and above, I have the honor to state that the question was considered by the Municipal Council at their meeting held on the 25th Instant, and they were of opinion that irrespective of the pay, the service of all the pensionable shanbhogs of the City Municipality may be classified as superior. Copy of the Council's resolution is herewith forwarded.

2. Considering that the shanbhogs are entrusted with responsible duties, it is in my opinion, nothing but proper that their service should be considered as superior whatever pay they may get.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,


Honorary President

6

Proceedings of an Ordinary Meeting of the City
Municipal Council, Bangalore, held at the office premises
at 8 A.M., on Saturday the 25th October 1913.

P R E S E N T.

Deewan Bahadur Raja Sabha Bhushana
K.P. Puttanna Chetty Esqr.,
Honorary President.

P.S. Ranoji Rao, Esqr., B.A.,
Vice President.

The Health Officer, Bangalore City.

D.D. Ramachandra Mudaliar Esqr.,

G. Rajappa Esqr.,

B.K. Garuda Char, Esqr.,

S.H. Subba Rao, Esqr.,

H.K. Veerabhasavayya, Esqr., B.A.B.L.

G. Siddaveerappa, Esqr.,

A.V. Ramaswami Chetty Esqr., B.A.,

B. Usman Khan Esqr.,

M. Subbaya, Esqr., B.A.,

B. Abdur Rahmon, Esqr., B.A.,

B. Hanumappa, Esqr.,

D. Venkatarangaiya, Esqr., B.A.,

S. Venkatarangilengar, Esqr.,

A B S E N T.

The Executive Engineer.
Bangalore Division.

The Amildar, Bangalore Taluk.

Mrs. D.A. Rao.

B. Chinnaswami Chetty Esqr.,

D. Adeppa Esqr.,

N. Ramaiya, Esqr.,

S. Ramachandraswami Esqr., B.A.,

The General and Revenue Secretary's letter No. Fl.1800. S and A 21-13-2 dated the 4th October 1913 calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council with the views of the President in regard to the question whether it is necessary to make a distinction, under articles 270 and 271 of the Mysore Service Regulation in the classification of Service of pensionable Shanbhogs of the Bangalore City Municipality, as superior or inferior, according as they are in receipt of less than Rs 10/- or of Rs 10/- and above.

Resolution:- Resolved that the service of pensionable Shanbhogs of the Bangalore City Municipality be classified as superior irrespective of their pay.

Sd. K.P. Puttanna Chetty,
Honorary President.

" C O P Y ".


For Honorary President.

File No. 21-13

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(S. S. S.)

, the

191

Date of issue

11-7-14

Signed by

Mr. L. H. Rao

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore

READ again:— Govt. Pro. No. 767060-1

Sta 599-12-2 dt. the 5th June 1913

Sanctioning a Superannuation
pension of Rs 1-9-2 p.m. and an
inferior gratuity of Rs 56/- to
Nanjappa late Asst. Shanbogue
Collection Deptt. Bangalore city
Municipality.

2. Petition dated the
30th June 1913 from Nanjappa
praying

SUBJECT.

praying that the service rendered by him as Shanbogue may and the cir^{ces} explained be treated as Superior and that he may be given pension according to the Superior Scale.

3. Letter No 134 dt: the 1st November 1913 from the President City Municipal Council, Bangalore reporting with ref^{ce} to an ~~enq~~ enquiry made that in the opinion of the Municipal Council ~~that~~ the service of Shanbagues may, irrespective of their pay be treated as Superior.

Order Thereon H 138-140 D 11.7.14

Taking into consideration the nature of the work done by the Shanbagues the Govt of H. H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that their services in the city Municipality, Bangalore. be treated as "superior" irrespective of their pay. and that the case of.

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

of Shanboque Nanjappa
in question be revised
and decided accordingly

2 The pension appln.
of Nanjappa is forwarded
to the Comptroller to Govt.
for a revised report

h/3/6
For F. Secretary

MM-38 MM
30.6.14

To

The President city Muni
-cipal Council Bangalore
The Comptroller
Copy to Br: IV G. R. S.


MM
10/7/14

Pension Department

OFFICE OF

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, 21 - 7 - 1914.

No. 20
P. & A. 10211

Class of pension or gratuity

Amount

[Sd]

Name and designation

29/7
Superannuation
Nanjappa, Late Shanbhoye, Bangalore city
municipality.

From

THE COMPTROLLER OF MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Financial Dept.

SIR,

I have the honor, with reference to Article 496 of the
Mysore Service Regulations, to submit for the orders of Govern-
ment, duly verified and reported on, the marginally noted applica-

tion for ^{pension}
~~gratuity~~

G.O. H. 138-40

received with docket No. dated 13.7.1914.
S.A. 21-13-4

from the

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

7/4
Comptroller.

From

The Comptroller of Mysore.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVT.,

Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Subject.

Forwarding an application for Pension
(Gratuity) reported on for the orders of
Government on behalf of.....

APPLICATION FOR PENSION OR GRATUITY.

1. Name of Applicant	Shanboze Nanjappa ✓
2. Father's Name	Rangappa ✓
3. Race, Sect and Caste	Sugartha Brahmin ✓
4. Residence, showing Village	Chamrajpet, Bangalore City ✓
5. Present or last employment, including name of establishment	Assistant Shanboze Collector's Office Bangalore City Municipality ✓
6. Date of beginning of Service	3 rd March 1883 ✓
7. Date of ending of Service	31 st July 1912 ✓
8. Length of Service, including interruptions	29 Y. 4 M. 28 D.
of which Superior	29 - 4 - 28 ✓
Inferior	29 - 2 - 28 ✓
Non-qualifying and interruptions	0 - 2 - 0 ✓
9. Class of Pension or Gratuity applied for, and cause of application*	Super Annuation Pension ✓
10. [Average] Emoluments ^{last} of Pay	Rs 10/- ✓
11. Proposed Pension	Rs 5/- ✓
12. Proposed Gratuity	
13. Date from which Pension is to commence	1 st August 1912 ✓
14. Place of Payment	Bangalore District Treasury ✓
15. Date of applicant's birth by Christian era†	1855 (9 th March) ✓
16. Height	5 Feet — 2 Inches
17. Marks‡	A round spot about the size of a 2 anna piece (rather dark) in the right palm & a wound mark on the right thumb cap ✓
18. Date	30 th January 1913 ✓
19. Regd. No. 10211 (in Comptroller's Office)	Vice President Municipal Council Office. Bangalore City.

* If the application is for a Compensation, Pension or Gratuity, the nature of the change of establishment which has given rise to the claim should be fully stated.

† If not known exactly, must be stated on the best information or estimate.

‡ If there be no ostensible marks, the applicant may be referred to a medical officer for examination and the marks that may be given by that officer may be entered. These must include an impression of the ball of the applicant's left thumb made in the space for the purpose shown below.

Thumb impression of Nanjappa late Asst. Shanboze taken in my presence this 30th day of January 1913.



Chamrajpet
Vice President Municipal Council,
Signature and designation.
Bangalore City.

HISTORY OF THE SERVICE (showing interruption) of Nanyappa Lalit Shankar, City Municipality Bangalore

10

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting Allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as service	Period not reckoned as service	Remarks	How verified	Remarks by the Audit Officer
Bangalore City Municipality Collection Department	Assistant Shankar (on Probation)	6/-		3-3-1883	20-3-1884	Y. M. D. 1-0-18	Y. M. D.	Counts under art: 253 M.S.R.	Verified with the reference to Barward.	Service from 3.3.83 to 31.7.12 is admitted as certified to in Colo: 10 & 6 of this and next 6 pages and confirmed partly by the Schedules filed in this office. The applicant retired on Super ⁿ pension at the age of 57 yrs 4 m 22 d under art: 326 M.S.R. He has had two months leave without allowances in Super ⁿ Service disallowed under art: 275 M.S.R. The date of his retirement is supported by a last pay certificate 2/- No. 38.138-40-SOA 21-10-4 5 11/13 7.14 - 24/7/14
	on Probation	8/-		21-3-1884	28-12-1886	2-9-8				
	Permanent	8/-		29-12-1886	2-6-1896	9-5-4				
	Head Shankar Ag.	10/-		3-6-1896	2-10-1896	0-4-0				
	Assistant Shankar (Permanent)	8/-		3-10-1896	30-11-1897	1-1-28				
	do	10/-		1-12-1897	19-7-1906	8-7-19				
	Clerk (Ag.)	12/-		20-7-1906	21-9-06	0-2-2				
	Assistant Shankar (Permanent)	10/-		22-9-1906	30-3-1907	0-6-9				
	Clerk (Ag.)	12/-		1-4-1907	31-7-1907	0-4-0				
	Assistant Shankar (Permanent)	10/-		1-8-1907	30-4-1912	4-7-0	0-2-0	Leave without allowances.		
	Clerk (Ag.)	12/-		1-5-1912	28-7-1912	0-2-28				
	Assistant Shankar (Permanent)	10/-		29-7-1912	31-7-1912	0-0-3				
Total period of Superior and Inferior Service						29-2-28	0-2-0			
14-6-0 + 14-8-28						29-4-29				

Vice President Municipal Council.
Signature of Head of Office.

REMARKS BY HEAD OF OFFICE:

1. As to character and past conduct of Applicant. } *Good ✓*
2. Explanation of any suspension or degradation. }
3. Regarding any gratuity or pension already received by applicant (see Chapter XIX of the M. S. Regulations). }
4. Explanation under Article 279 so far as the Head of the Office can give it. }
5. Any other Remarks (see Articles 281 and 473). *Retired of his own accord under art: 326 M.S.R.*
6. Specific Opinion of Head of Office, whether the service claimed is established and should be admitted or not (see Article 472 (b) of the M. S. Regulations). *The service claimed is established and should be admitted.*

[Signature]
Vice President, Municipal Council, Office)
Bengaluru City,

P
No. 10211 of 190 —190

Bangalore dated 2 . 5 . 1903.

No. P
of 191 —191 .

Revised Report as per 4.0
Bangalore, dated - 21 - 7 - 1914 - 101 -
No. 2138-40 - S.A. 21-13-4 & 11.7.14

Certificate and Report of the Comptroller.

Certified that (subject to the Remarks below recorded) qualifying service in Superior, ~~inferior~~ grades, has been duly proved for 29 years, 2 months, 28 days, and that a *Superannuation* Pension, ~~Gratuity~~, not exceeding Rupees 5/- (*Rupees Five only*) is admissible under Articles 326 & 336(c) of the Mysore Service Regulations, 3rd Edition. *ad*
But the full amount admissible under the rules is not to be given as a matter of course, or unless the service rendered is really approved, vide Article 333 (a) of the Regulations.

The calculations have been duly verified. The Pension, ~~Gratuity~~, is chargeable to *the funds of the Bangalore City Municipality* the date from which this enhanced rate of pension should be granted, may be kindly intimated. *21/7/14*

[Signature]
For Comptroller.

[Signature]
21/7/14

Application for Pension or Gratuity.....	<i>Pension</i>
Date of Application.....	<i>30th January 1913</i>
Name of Applicant.....	<i>Nanjappa</i>
Last Appointment.....	<i>Assistant Shauboghe Collection Department, Bangalore City Municipality</i>
Class of Pension or Gratuity.....	<i>Super Annuation Pension</i>
Amount of pension sanctioned.....	
Amount of Gratuity sanctioned	
Date of commencement.....	<i>1st August 1912</i>
Date of sanction.....	

3 10/8 205
(15-
PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE
MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
(FINANCIAL BRANCH.)

READ—

Letter No. 20 dated the 21st July 1914
Pla 10211
from the Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
~~to the Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,~~
~~General and Revenue Departments (Military).~~

ORDER THEREON NO. 7666-7 DATED BANGALORE, 14 August 1914
58721-14-16

Under the provisions of Articles 326 and 336 (b) of the

Mysore Service Regulations, the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

sanction the payment of a superannuation

Pension—~~Sum~~ of Rupees Five

(Rs 5 ——— " ——— ") only

per mensem to Nairajappa, late Asst Shairbag Collection

Department Bangalore city Municipality

with effect from the 1st August 1912 in consideration of

a qualifying service of 29 years

2 months 28 days rendered by him, the amount of pension—

~~gratuity~~ being debited to the funds of the Bangalore city

Municipality

7/8

W. S. M.
For Financial Secretary.

To
The Comptroller to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, with
copy of the 1st page of the pension ~~order~~ application.

Copy 10/8 1914
The President city Munc Council Bangalore

DM
1.8.14.